arXiv:1403.7894v1 [math.AG] 31 Mar 2014

The Chern class mapping on abelian surfaces

Toshiyuki Katsura*

Abstract

We examine the Chern class mapping $c_1 : \mathrm{NS}(S)/p\mathrm{NS}(S) \to \mathrm{H}^1(S, \Omega^1_S)$ for an abelian surface S in characteristic $p \geq 3$, and give a basis of the kernel c_1 for the superspecial abelian surface.

1 Introduction

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$, and S be a nonsingular complete algebraic surface over k. We denote by $\mathrm{H}^2_{dR}(S)$ the second de Rham cohomology group of S, and by $\mathrm{NS}(S)$ the Néron-Severi group of S. $\mathrm{NS}(S)$ is a finitely generated abelian group, and the rank $\rho(S)$ of $\mathrm{NS}(S)$ is called the Picard number. We have the Chern class mapping $\mathrm{NS}(S)/p\mathrm{NS}(S) \to \mathrm{H}^2_{dR}(S)$ and this map is injective if the Hodge-to-de Rham spectral sequence of S degenetates at E_1-term (cf. Ogus [8]). We also have the Chern class mapping $c_1 : \mathrm{NS}(S)/p\mathrm{NS}(S) \to \mathrm{H}^1(S, \Omega^1_S)$. This map is, whereas, not necessarily injective even if the Hodge-to-de Rham spectral sequence of S degenetates at E_1-term (cf. Ogus [8]).

In this paper, we examine this map c_1 in the case of abelian surfaces. For abelian surfaces, the Chern class mapping c_1 is injective if and only if the abelian surface is not superspecial (for the definition, see Section 2). This fact was implicitly proved in Ogus [8] by using the notion of K3 crystal. We give here a down-to-earth proof of this fact and determine a basis of the kernel of the Chern class mapping c_1 for the superspecial abelian surface. To calculate a basis of Ker c_1, in Section 2 we examine the structure of the Néron-Severi group of the superspecial abelian surface. Using the theory of quaternion algebra, problems on divisors on superspecial abelian surafaces are replaced by problems on matrices with coefficients in quaternion division algebra. Main part of Section 2 will be known to specialists. But, since we cannot find a suitable reference which includes explicit results, we give here a complete proof. As an example, we give an explicit description on our theory in the case of characteristic 3. Finally, we examine the Chern class mapping of Kummer surfaces and show similar results to the case of abelian surfaces.

*Partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) No. 24540053.

The author would like to thank Professor Gerard van der Geer for his useful advice and stimulating conversation.

2 The Néron-Severi group

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. An abelian surface is said to be superspecial if it is isomorphic to a product of two supersingular elliptic curves. Note that a superspecial abelian surface is unique up to isomorphism (cf. Shioda [11]). In this section, we examine the structure of the Néron-Severi group of the superspecial abelian surface.

Let E be a supersingular elliptic curve defined over k, and we consider the superspecial abelian surface $A = E_1 \times E_2$ with $E_1 = E_2 = E$. We denote by O_E the zero point of E. We take a divisor $X = E_1 \times \{O_{E_2}\} + \{O_{E_1}\} \times E_2$, which gives a principal polarization on A. We also denote $E_1 \times \{O_{E_2}\}$ (resp. $\{O_{E_1}\} \times E_2$) by E_1 (resp. by E_2) for the sake of simplicity. We set $\mathcal{O} = \mathrm{End}(E)$ and $B = \mathrm{End}^0(E) = \mathrm{End}(E) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$. Then, B is a quaternion division algebra over the rational number field $\mathbf{Q}$ with discriminant p, and $\mathcal{O}$ is a maximal order of B. For an element $a \in B$, we denote by $\bar{a}$ the canonical involution. For a divisor L, we have a homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \varphi_L : & A & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Pic}^0(A) \\ & x & \mapsto & T_x^* L - L, \end{array}$$

where T_x is the translation by $x \in A$ (cf Mumford [7]). We set

$$H = \{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \mid \alpha, \delta \in \mathbf{Z},\ \gamma, \beta \in \mathcal{O},\ \gamma = \bar{\beta} \}.$$

Main part of the following theorem will be known to specialists (cf. Ibukiyama, Katsura and Oort [5]).

Theorem 2.1 *The homomorphism*

$$\begin{array}{rccc} j : & \mathrm{NS}(A) & \longrightarrow & H \\ & L & \mapsto & \varphi_X^{-1} \circ \varphi_L \end{array}$$

is bijective. By this correspondence, we have

$$j(E_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},\ j(E_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $L_1, L_2 \in \mathrm{NS}(A)$ such that

$$j(L_1) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 \\ \gamma_1 & \delta_1 \end{pmatrix},\ j(L_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 & \beta_2 \\ \gamma_2 & \delta_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

the intersection number (L_1, L_2) *is given by*

$$(L_1, L_2) = \alpha_2\delta_1 + \alpha_1\delta_2 - \gamma_1\beta_2 - \gamma_2\beta_1.$$

In particular, for $L \in \mathrm{NS}(A)$ *such that* $j(L) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$ *we have*

$$L^2 = 2\det\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$$
$$(L, E_1) = \alpha,\ (L, E_2) = \delta.$$

We have also $j(nD) = nj(D)$ *for an integer* n.

The first statement of this theorem is given in Mumford [7]. The final statement follows from the definition of φ_L. To prove the others, we need some lemmas.

Lemma 2.2 *The restriction homomorphism*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Res} : \mathrm{Pic}^0(A) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Pic}^0(E_1) \times \mathrm{Pic}^0(E_2) \\ L & \mapsto & (L\mid_{E_1}, L\mid_{E_2}) \end{array}$$

is an isomorphism, and the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{\varphi_X} & \mathrm{Pic}^0(A) & \ni & L \\ \| & & \downarrow \mathrm{Res} & & \downarrow \\ E_1 \times E_2 & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{O_{E_1}} \times \varphi_{O_{E_2}}} & \mathrm{Pic}^0(E_1) \times \mathrm{Pic}^0(E_2) & \ni & (L\mid_{E_1}, L\mid_{E_2}) \end{array}$$

Proof The first statement is well-known (cf. Mumford [7]). For $x = (x_1, x_2) \in A$, we have

$$\mathrm{Res}\circ\varphi_X(x) = \mathrm{Res}(T_x^*X - X) = (T_{x_1}^*O_{E_1} - O_{E_1}, T_{x_2}^*O_{E_2} - O_{E_2}) = (\varphi_{O_{E_1}} \times \varphi_{O_{E_2}})(x)$$

■

We now examine the canonical involusion of B. Since we have $B = \mathrm{End}(E) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$, it suffices to define it for the elements of End(E). For $g \in \mathrm{End}(E)$, we define the canonical involution by

$$\bar{g} = \varphi_{O_E}^{-1} \circ g^* \circ \varphi_{O_E}.$$

For the elliptic curve E, we have

$$\bar{g} \circ g = \varphi_{O_E}^{-1} \circ g^* \circ \varphi_{O_E} \circ g = \deg g.$$

Lemma 2.3 *The Rosatti involusion* g' *of* $g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(B)$ *is given by*

$$g' = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\alpha} & \bar{\gamma} \\ \bar{\beta} & \bar{\delta} \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof We denote by $\hat{g}$ the dual morphism of g. As the action to divisors, we have $\hat{g} = g^*$. The Rosatti involution is given by $g' = \varphi_X^{-1} \circ \hat{g} \circ \varphi_X$. We calculate the right-hand-side term concretely. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_1 \times E_2 & \stackrel{\varphi_{O_{E_1}} \times \varphi_{O_{E_2}}}{\longrightarrow} & \mathrm{Pic}^0(E_1) \times \mathrm{Pic}^0(E_2) \\ \downarrow \varphi_X & & || \\ \mathrm{Pic}^0(E_1 \times E_2) & \stackrel{Res}{\longrightarrow} & \mathrm{Pic}^0(E_1) \times \mathrm{Pic}^0(E_2) \\ \uparrow \hat{g} & & \uparrow Res \circ \hat{g} \circ Res^{-1} \\ \mathrm{Pic}^0(E_1 \times E_2) & \stackrel{Res}{\longrightarrow} & \mathrm{Pic}^0(E_1) \times \mathrm{Pic}^0(E_2) \\ \uparrow \varphi_X & & || \\ E_1 \times E_2 & \stackrel{\varphi_{O_{E_1}} \times \varphi_{O_{E_2}}}{\longrightarrow} & \mathrm{Pic}^0(E_1) \times \mathrm{Pic}^0(E_2). \end{array}$$

Using this diagram, for the point $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \in E_1 \times E_2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} g' \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} &= \varphi_X^{-1} \circ \hat{g} \circ \varphi_X \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (\varphi_{O_{E_1}} \times \varphi_{O_{E_2}})^{-1} \circ Res \circ \hat{g} \circ Res^{-1} \circ (\varphi_{O_{E_1}} \times \varphi_{O_{E_2}}) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (\varphi_{O_{E_1}} \times \varphi_{O_{E_2}})^{-1} \circ Res \circ \hat{g} \circ Res^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{O_{E_1}}(x_1) \\ \varphi_{O_{E_2}}(x_2) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (\varphi_{O_{E_1}} \times \varphi_{O_{E_2}})^{-1} \circ Res \circ \hat{g}(p_1^* \varphi_{\mathcal{O}_1}(x_1) + p_2^* \varphi_{O_{E_2}}(x_2)) \\ &= (\varphi_{O_{E_1}} \times \varphi_{O_{E_2}})^{-1} \circ Res((p_1 \circ g)^* \varphi_{O_{E_1}}(x_1) + (p_2 \circ g)^* \varphi_{O_{E_2}}(x_2)) \end{aligned}$$

We denote by m_i the addition of E_i $(i = 1, 2)$. Then, we have

$$p_1 \circ g = m_1 \circ (\alpha \times \beta), \ p_2 \circ g = m_2 \circ (\gamma \times \delta).$$

We denote by q_i $(i = 1, 2)$ the i-th projection $E_1 \times E_1 \to E_1$. Then by Mumford [7], for $L \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(E_1)$ we have

$$m_1^* L \sim q_1^* L + q_2^* L \text{ (linearly equivalent)}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} (p_1 \circ g)^* \varphi_{O_{E_1}}(x_1) &= (m_1 \circ (\alpha \times \beta))^* \varphi_{O_{E_1}}(x_1) \\ &= (\alpha \times \beta)^* m_1^* \varphi_{O_{E_1}}(x_1) \\ &= (\alpha \times \beta)^* (q_1^* \varphi_{O_{E_1}}(x_1) + q_2^* \varphi_{O_{E_1}}(x_1)) \\ &= \{q_1 \circ (\alpha \times \beta)\}^* \varphi_{O_{E_1}}(x_1) + \{q_2 \circ (\alpha \times \beta)\}^* \varphi_{O_{E_1}}(x_1). \end{aligned}$$

Since we have commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_1 \times E_2 & \stackrel{\alpha \times \beta}{\longrightarrow} & E_1 \times E_1 \\ \downarrow p_1 & & \downarrow q_1 \\ E_1 & \stackrel{\alpha}{\longrightarrow} & E_1, \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} E_1 \times E_2 & \stackrel{\alpha \times \beta}{\longrightarrow} & E_1 \times E_1 \\ \downarrow p_2 & & \downarrow q_2 \\ E_1 & \stackrel{\beta}{\longrightarrow} & E_1, \end{array}$$

we have

$$(p_1 \circ g)^* \varphi_{O_{E_1}(x_1)} = p_1^* \alpha^* \varphi_{O_{E_1}(x_1)} + p_2^* \beta^* \varphi_{O_{E_1}(x_1)}.$$

In a similar way, we have

$$(p_2 \circ g)^* \varphi_{O_{E_2}(x_2)} = p_1^* \gamma^* \varphi_{O_{E_2}(x_2)} + p_2^* \delta^* \varphi_{O_{E_2}(x_2)}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& g' \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= (\varphi_{O_{E_1}} \times \varphi_{O_{E_2}})^{-1} \circ Res(p_1^* \alpha^* \varphi_{O_{E_1}}(x_1) + p_2^* \beta^* \varphi_{O_{E_1}}(x_1) + p_1^* \gamma^* \varphi_{O_{E_2}}(x_2) + p_2^* \delta^* \varphi_{O_{E_2}}(x_2)) \\
&= (\varphi_{O_{E_1}} \times \varphi_{O_{E_2}})^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^* \varphi_{O_{E_1}}(x_1) + \gamma^* \varphi_{O_{E_2}}(x_2) \\ \beta^* \varphi_{O_{E_1}}(x_1) + \delta^* \varphi_{O_{E_2}}(x_2) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{O_{E_1}}^{-1} \alpha^* \varphi_{O_{E_1}}(x_1) + \varphi_{O_{E_1}}^{-1} \gamma^* \varphi_{O_{E_2}}(x_2) \\ \varphi_{O_{E_2}}^{-1} \beta^* \varphi_{O_{E_1}}(x_1) + \varphi_{O_{E_2}}^{-1} \delta^* \varphi_{O_{E_2}}(x_2) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{O_{E_1}}^{-1} \alpha^* \varphi_{O_{E_1}} & \varphi_{O_{E_1}}^{-1} \gamma^* \varphi_{O_{E_2}} \\ \varphi_{O_{E_2}}^{-1} \beta^* \varphi_{O_{E_1}} & \varphi_{O_{E_2}}^{-1} \delta^* \varphi_{O_{E_2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $E_1 = E_2 = E$ and $\varphi_{\mathcal{O}_1} = \varphi_{\mathcal{O}_2}$, we conclude

$$g' = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}' = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\alpha} & \bar{\gamma} \\ \bar{\beta} & \bar{\delta} \end{pmatrix}$$

■

Lemma 2.4 *For a divisor $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(E_1 \times E_2)$ with $j(L) = g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$, we have*

$$\alpha = (L, E_1), \quad \beta = (L, E_2).$$

Proof Since α is an integer and

$$(1) \qquad g \begin{pmatrix} x \\ O_{E_2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ O_{E_2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha x \\ \gamma(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, we examine αx.

$$\begin{aligned}
g \begin{pmatrix} x \\ O_{E_2} \end{pmatrix} &= \varphi_X^{-1} \circ \varphi_L \begin{pmatrix} x \\ O_{E_2} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \varphi_X^{-1} \{T_{(x,\mathcal{O}_2)}^* L - L\} \\
&= (\varphi_{\mathcal{O}_1} \times \varphi_{\mathcal{O}_2})^{-1} \circ Res\{T_{(x,\mathcal{O}_2)}^* L - L\}
\end{aligned}$$

We restrict the divisor L to E_1 and denote it by e. Then, the divisor is expressed as

$$e \sim \sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} n_i P_i$$

with integers n_i and points P_i on E_1 $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, \lambda)$. We have

$$(L, E_1) = \deg e = \sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} n_i.$$

We set $n = \sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} n_i$. Using these notation, we have the following form:

$$g \begin{pmatrix} x \\ O_{E_2} \end{pmatrix} = (\varphi_{O_{E_1}} \times \varphi_{O_{E_2}})^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} T_x^* e - e \\ * \end{pmatrix}.$$

We denote by $\oplus$ the addition of E_1, and by $\ominus$ the subtraction of E_1. Then, we have

$$T_x^* e \sim \sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} n_i (P_i \ominus x).$$

By Abel's theorem, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & T_x^* e - e \\ & \sim n_1(P_1 \ominus x) \oplus \cdots \oplus n_\lambda(P_\lambda \ominus x) \ominus (n_1 P_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus n_\lambda P_\lambda) - O_{E_1} \\ & \sim (-n)x - O_{E_1} = T_{nx}^* O_{E_1} - O_{E_1} = \varphi_{O_{E_1}}(nx). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have $\varphi_{O_{E_1}}^{-1}((-nx) - O_{E_1}) = nx$, and

$$(2) \qquad g \begin{pmatrix} x \\ O_{E_2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} nx \\ * \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, comparing (1) and (2), we have $\alpha = n = (L, E_1)$. In a similar way, we have $\beta = (L, E_2)$. ■

Lemma 2.5 *$j(E_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $j(E_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$*

Proof For a point $(x_1, x_2) \in E_1 \times E_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_X^{-1} \circ \varphi_{E_1} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} &= \varphi_X^{-1}\{T_{(x_1,x_2)}^* E_1 - E_1\} \\ &= (\varphi_{O_{E_1}} \times \varphi_{O_{E_2}})^{-1} \circ Res\{T_{(x_1,x_2)}^* E_1 - E_1\} \\ &= (\varphi_{O_{E_1}} \times \varphi_{O_{E_2}})^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} O_{E_1} - O_{E_1} \\ (-x_2) - O_{E_2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} O_{E_1} \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$j(E_1) = \varphi_X^{-1} \circ \varphi_{E_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In a similar way, we have the latter assersion. ■

Lemma 2.6 *For $L \in \mathrm{NS}(E_1 \times E_2)$, we set $j(L) = g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \bar{\gamma} \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$. Then,*

$$L^2 = 2 \det g.$$

Proof Since $\alpha, \delta \in \mathbf{Z}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_X^{-1} \circ \varphi_{(L-\alpha E_2 - \delta E_1)} &= \varphi_X^{-1} \circ \varphi_L - \alpha \varphi_X^{-1} \circ \varphi_{E_2} - \delta \varphi_X^{-1} \circ \varphi_{E_1} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \bar{\gamma} \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{\gamma} \\ \gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the right hand-side is contained in H, there exists a divisor Z such that

$$\varphi_X^{-1} \circ \varphi_Z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{\gamma} \\ \gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since φ_X is an isomorphism, by the Riemann-Roch theorem, we have

$$\deg(\varphi_X^{-1} \circ \varphi_Z) = \deg \varphi_Z = (Z^2/2)^2.$$

On the other hand,

$$\deg(\varphi_X^{-1} \circ \varphi_Z) = \deg \gamma \cdot \deg \bar{\gamma} = (\deg \gamma)^2 = (\gamma\bar{\gamma})^2$$

By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$(Z, E_1) = (Z, E_2) = 0.$$

Therefore, we have $(Z, E_1 + E_2) = 0$. Since $(E_1 + E_2)^2 = 2 > 0$, by the Hodge index theorem we see $Z^2 < 0$. Therefore, we have, $Z^2/2 = -\gamma\bar{\gamma}$.

On the other hand, since φ_X is an isomorphism and $\varphi_X^{-1} \circ \varphi_{L-\alpha E_2 - \delta E_1 - Z} = 0$, we have $\varphi_{(L-\alpha E_2 - \delta E_1 - Z)} = 0$. Therefore, we have

$$0 \equiv L - \alpha E_2 - \delta E_1 - Z.$$

Hence, we have

$$L^2 = 2\alpha\delta + Z^2 = 2(\alpha\delta - \gamma\bar{\gamma}) = 2 \det g.$$

■

Lemma 2.7 *Let L_1 and L_2 be two divisors with $j(L_1) = g_1$ and $j(L_2) = g_2$. Let g be an automorphism of A. Then, $g^* L_1 \equiv L_2$ if and only if ${}^t\bar{g} g_1 g = g_2$.*

Proof We have

$$\begin{aligned} g^*L_1 \equiv L_2 &\iff \varphi_{g^*L_1} = \varphi_{L_2} \\ &\iff \hat{g} \circ \varphi_{L_1} \circ g = \varphi_{L_2} \\ &\iff \varphi_X^{-1} \circ \hat{g} \circ \varphi_X \circ (\varphi_X^{-1} \circ \varphi_{L_1}) \circ g = \varphi_X^{-1} \circ \varphi_{L_2} \\ &\iff g' \circ g_1 \circ g = g_2. \end{aligned}$$

■

Let $m : E \times E \to E$ be the addition of E, and we set

$$\Delta = \mathrm{Ker}\ m.$$

We have $\Delta = \{(P, -P) \mid P \in E\}$. Note that this Δ is different from the usual diagonal. For two endomorphisms $a_1, a_2 \in \mathrm{End}(E)$, we set

$$\Delta_{a_1,a_2} = (a_1 \times a_2)^*\Delta.$$

Using this notation, we have $\Delta = \Delta_{1,1}$. We have the following theorem (cf. [6]).

Theorem 2.8

$$j(\Delta_{a_1,a_2}) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{a}_1 a_1 & \bar{a}_1 a_2 \\ \bar{a}_2 a_1 & \bar{a}_2 a_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, we have

$$j(\Delta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof We set

$$\varphi_X^{-1} \circ \varphi_\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \bar{\gamma} \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, by $(E_1, \Delta) = (E_2, \Delta) = 1$, we have $\alpha = \delta = 1$. Since we have

$$\varphi_X^{-1} \circ \varphi_\Delta \begin{pmatrix} x \\ -x \end{pmatrix} = \varphi_X^{-1}\{T^*_{(x,-x)}\Delta - \Delta\} = \varphi_X^{-1}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}_1 \\ \mathcal{O}_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

we have $\gamma(x) = x$ for any $x \in E$. Therefore, we have $\gamma = 1$.

By definition, we have

$$\Delta_{a_1,a_2} = (a_1 \times a_2)^*\Delta.$$

Therefore, we have

$$j(\Delta_{a_1,a_2}) = \overline{{}^t\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{a}_1 a_1 & \bar{a}_1 a_2 \\ \bar{a}_2 a_1 & \bar{a}_2 a_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

■

3 Non-superspecial cases

In this section, we examine the injectivity of the Chern class mapping of abelian surfaces. The following theorem follows essentially from the results in Ogus [8], but we give here a down-to-earth proof.

Theorem 3.1 *Let X be an abelian surface defined over k. Then, the Chern map*

$$c_1 : \mathrm{NS}(X)/p\mathrm{NS}(X) \to \mathrm{H}^1(X, \Omega^1_X)$$

is injective if and only if X is not superspecial.

Proof The only-if-part will be proved in Theorem 4.4. We prove here the if-part. We denote by $r(X)$ the p-rank of the group of p-torsion points of X, and by $a(X)$ the a-number of X defined in Oort [9], which relates to the local-local group scheme α_p in X. By Oort [10], X is superspecial if and only if $a(X) = 2$. Therefore, we assume $a(X) \neq 2$. Take an affine open covering $\{U_i\}$ of X, and suppose that there is a divisor $D = \{f_{ij}\}$ which is not zero in $\mathrm{NS}(X)/p\mathrm{NS}(X)$, such that $c_1(D) = \{df_{ij}/f_{ij}\} \sim 0$ in $\mathrm{H}^1(X, \Omega^1_X)$. Then, there exists $\omega_i \in \mathrm{H}^0(U_i, \Omega^1_X)$ such that

$$df_{ij}/f_{ij} = \omega_j - \omega_i.$$

Suppose $d\omega_i = 0$. Then, operating the Cartier operator C, we have

$$df_{ij}/f_{ij} = C(\omega_j) - C(\omega_i).$$

Therefore, we have

$$C(\omega_j) - \omega_j = C(\omega_i) - \omega_i \quad \text{on } U_i \cap U_j.$$

and we have a regular 1-form ω' on X which is defined by

$$C(\omega_i) - \omega_i \quad \text{on } U_i.$$

Since $C - \mathrm{id} : H^0(X, \Omega^1_X) \to H^0(X, \Omega^1_X)$ is surjective, there exists a regular 1-form $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega^1_X)$ such that $(C - \mathrm{id})(\omega) = \omega'$. Therefore, we have

$$C(\omega_i - \omega) = \omega_i - \omega.$$

By the property of the Cartier operator, there exists an regular function f_i on U_i such that

$$\omega_i - \omega = df_i/f_i,$$

and we have

$$df_{ij}/f_{ij} = df_j/f_j - df_i/f_i.$$

This means $d(f_{ij}f_i/f_j) = 0$ and we conclude $D \in p\mathrm{NS}(X)$, which contradits $D \neq 0$ in $\mathrm{NS}(X)/p\mathrm{NS}(X)$.

Now, we assume $d\omega_i \neq 0$. Then, we have $d\omega_i = d\omega_j$ on $U_i \cap U_j$ and we get a non-zero regular 2-form on X. Since this regualr 2-form is d-exact and is a basis of $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X^2)$, the Cartier operator acts on $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X^2)$ as the zero map. Therefore, X is not ordinary, that is, $r(X) \neq 2$. Therefore, we have either $r(X) = 1$ and $a(X) = 1$, or $r(X) = 0$ and $a(X) = 1$.

Now, we consider the absolute Frobenius $F : \mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \to \mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Since $a(X) = 1$ in both cases, there exists a non-zero element $\beta = \{g_{ij}\}$ in $\mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ such that $\beta^p = 0$. This means that there exists a regular function g_i on U_i such that $g_{ij}^p = g_j - g_i$. Since $dg_i = dg_j$ on $U_i \cap U_j$, we have a non-zero regular 1-form η on X given by dg_i on U_i. Since $\dim \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X^1) = 2$, in both cases there exists a nonzero regular 1-form η' such that $\langle \eta, \eta' \rangle$ gives a basis of $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X^1)$ with $C(\eta') \neq 0$. In fact, we can take η' with $C(\eta') = \eta$ if $r(X) = 0$ and $a(X) = 1$, and we can take η' with $C(\eta') = \eta'$ if $r(X) = 1$ and $a(X) = 1$. Since we have $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X^2) = \wedge^2 \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X^1)$, $\eta \wedge \eta'$ gives a basis of $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X^2)$. Therefore, there exists a non-zero element $a \in k$ such that

$$d\omega_i = a\eta \wedge \eta' = a(d(g_i \eta')).$$

We set $\theta_i = \omega_i - a g_i \eta'$. Then, θ_i is d-closed and we have

$$\begin{aligned} df_{ij}/f_{ij} &= a g_j \eta' - a g_i \eta' + \theta_j - \theta_i \\ &= a g_{ij}^p \eta' + \theta_j - \theta_i \end{aligned}$$

Operating the Cartier operator, we have

$$df_{ij}/f_{ij} = a^{1/p} g_{ij} C(\eta') + C(\theta_j) - C(\theta_i).$$

This means that

$$c_1(D) \sim a^{1/p} \beta \otimes C(\eta') \in \mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X^1) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(X, \Omega_X^1)$$

Since $\beta \neq 0$ in $\mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ and $C(\eta') \neq 0$ in $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X^1)$, we see $\beta \otimes C(\eta') \neq 0$ in $\mathrm{H}^1(X, \Omega_X^1)$. A contradiction. Hence, if $a(X) \neq 2$, we conclude that c_1 is injective. ■

4 Superspecial cases

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 3$. For an elliptic curve E over k, we examine the action of endomorphisms of E on $\mathrm{H}^0(E, \Omega_E^1)$ and $\mathrm{H}^1(E, \mathcal{O}_E)$.

Lemma 4.1 *Let E be an elliptic curve and $\alpha \in \mathrm{End}(E)$. Assume α acts on $\mathrm{H}^1(E, \mathcal{O}_E)$ as the multiplication by $\beta \in k$ ($\beta \neq 0$). Then, α acts on $\mathrm{H}^0(E, \Omega_E^1)$ as the multiplication by $\frac{\deg \alpha}{\beta}$.*

Proof By the endomorphism $\alpha : E \to E$, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{NS}(E)/p\mathrm{NS}(E) & \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} & \mathrm{NS}(E)/p\mathrm{NS}(E) \\ \downarrow c_1 & & \downarrow c_1 \\ \mathrm{H}^1(E, \Omega^1_E) & \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} & \mathrm{H}^1(E, \Omega^1_E) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{H}^1(E, \mathcal{O}_E) \otimes \mathrm{H}^0(E, \Omega^1_E) & \xrightarrow{\alpha^* \otimes \alpha^*} & \mathrm{H}^1(E, \mathcal{O}_E) \otimes \mathrm{H}^0(E, \Omega^1_E). \end{array}$$

Take a point $Q \in E$, and bases $\omega \in \mathrm{H}^0(E, \Omega^1_E)$, $\eta \in \mathrm{H}^1(E, \mathcal{O}_E)$. Then, we have $\alpha^*(Q) = (\deg \alpha)Q$, and $(\alpha^* \otimes \alpha^*)(\omega \otimes \eta) = (\beta\omega) \otimes \alpha^*\eta$. The result follows from the diagram. ■

For an integer n, we have an endomorphism $[n]_E : E \longrightarrow E$ given by $P \mapsto nP$ $(P \in E)$.

Lemma 4.2 *The induced homomorphism*

$$[n]^*_E : \mathrm{H}^0(E, \Omega^1_E) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(E, \Omega^1_E)$$

is the multiplication by n, i.e., $[n]^*_E\omega = n\omega$ for $\omega \in H^0(E, \Omega^1_E)$.

Proof This follows from the fact that $[n]_*$ is given by the multiplication n on the tangent space at the origin (Mumford [7]). ■

Assume $p \neq 2$. Take a prime number q such that $-q \equiv 5 \pmod 8$ and $(\frac{-q}{p}) = -1$, and take an integer a such that $a^2 \equiv -p \pmod q$. Here, $(\frac{-q}{p})$ is the Legendre symbol. Note that p and q are prime to each other. By Ibukiyama (cf. [4]), the quaternion division algebra B over $\mathbf{Q}$ with discriminant p and a maximal order $\mathcal{O}$ of B are given by

$$\begin{gathered} B = \mathbf{Q} \oplus \mathbf{Q}F \oplus \mathbf{Q}\alpha \oplus \mathbf{Q}F\alpha \\ \text{with } F^2 = -p, \alpha^2 = -q, F\alpha = -\alpha F \\ \mathcal{O} = Z + Z(\tfrac{1+\alpha}{2}) + Z(\tfrac{F(1+\alpha)}{2}) + Z(\tfrac{(a+F)\alpha}{q}). \end{gathered}$$

Then, we know that there exists a supersingular elliptic curve E over k with $\mathrm{End}(E) = \mathcal{O}$ and $\mathrm{End}^0(E) = B$ (cf. Deuring [2]). We need the following well-known lemma.

Lemma 4.3 *For a non-singular complete algebraic curve X and with an affine open covering $\{U_i\}$ of X*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Pic}(X)/p\mathrm{Pic}(X) & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^1(X, \Omega^1_X) \\ \{f_{ij}\} & \longmapsto & \{df_{ij}/f_{ij}\} \end{array}$$

is injective.

Proof Suppose $\{df_{ij}/f_{ij}\} \sim 0$. Then, there exists $\omega_i \in \Omega^1_X(U_i)$ such that

$$\frac{df_{ij}}{f_{ij}} = \omega_j - \omega_i.$$

Since X is one-dimensional, ω_i's are d-closed. By the Cartier operator C, we have

$$\frac{df_{ij}}{f_{ij}} = C(\omega_j) - C(\omega_i).$$

Therefore, we have

$$C(\omega_i) - \omega_i = C(\omega_j) - \omega_j.$$

Hence, $C(\omega_i) - \omega_i$ on U_i gives a global regular 1-form $\omega \in \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega^1_X)$. Since $C - \mathrm{id}_X$ is surjective on $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega^1_X)$, there exists $\{\tilde{\omega}\}$ such that $(C - \mathrm{id}_X)(\tilde{\omega}) = \omega$. Replace ω_i by $\omega_i - \tilde{\omega}$, we may assume $C(\omega_i) = \omega_i$. Hence, there exists f_i such that $\omega_i = \frac{df_i}{f_i}$. The result follows from this fact (cf. the proof of Theorem 3.1). ■

Let $E_1 = E_2 = E$, and put $A = E_1 \times E_2$. We have the natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}^1(A, \Omega^1_A) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A) \otimes \mathrm{H}^0(A, \Omega^1_A)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{H}^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A) &\cong \mathrm{H}^1(E_1, \mathcal{O}_{E_1}) \oplus \mathrm{H}^1(E_2, \mathcal{O}_{E_2}), \\ \mathrm{H}^0(A, \Omega^1_A) &\cong \mathrm{H}^0(E_1, \Omega^1_{E_1}) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(E_2, \Omega^1_{E_2}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have a decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} (*) \quad \mathrm{H}^1(A, \Omega^1_A) \cong \; & \mathrm{H}^1(E_1, \mathcal{O}_{E_1}) \otimes \mathrm{H}^0(E_1, \mathcal{O}_{E_1}) \oplus \mathrm{H}^1(E_1, \mathcal{O}_{E_1}) \otimes \mathrm{H}^0(E_2, \Omega^1_{E_2}) \\ & \oplus \mathrm{H}^1(E_2, \mathcal{O}_{E_2}) \otimes \mathrm{H}^0(E_1, \Omega^1_{E_1}) \oplus \mathrm{H}^1(E_2, \mathcal{O}_{E_2}) \otimes \mathrm{H}^0(E_2, \Omega^1_{E_2}). \end{aligned}$$

We have projections

$$pr_i : A \longrightarrow E_i \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Then, we have injective homomorphisms

$$pr_i^* : \mathrm{H}^1(E_i, \Omega^1_{E_i}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(A, \Omega^1_A).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{H}^1(E_1, \Omega^1_{E_1}) &\cong \mathrm{H}^1(E_1, \mathcal{O}_{E_1}) \otimes \mathrm{H}^0(E_1, \Omega^1_{E_1}) \\ \mathrm{H}^1(E_2, \Omega^1_{E_2}) &\cong \mathrm{H}^1(E_2, \mathcal{O}_{E_2}) \otimes \mathrm{H}^0(E_2, \Omega^1_{E_2}), \end{aligned}$$

and we have the following commutative diagram

$$(**) \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{NS}(A)/p\mathrm{NS}(A) & \overset{c_1}{\hookrightarrow} & \mathrm{H}^1(A, \Omega^1_A) \\ \uparrow & & pr_i^* \uparrow \\ \mathrm{Pic}(E_i)/p\mathrm{Pic}(E_i) & \overset{c_1}{\hookrightarrow} & \mathrm{H}^1(E_i, \Omega^1_{E_i}) \end{array}$$

The image of the homomorphism pr_i^* is the one dimensional subspace $\mathrm{H}^1(E_i, \mathcal{O}_{E_i}) \otimes \mathrm{H}^0(E_i, \Omega^1_{E_i})$ $(i = 1, 2)$ in $\mathrm{H}^1(A, \Omega^1_A)$.

Now, we consider the Chern class mapping

$$\mathrm{NS}(A)/p\mathrm{NS}(A) \cong \mathrm{Pic}(A)/p\mathrm{Pic}(A) \xrightarrow{c_1} \mathrm{H}^1(A, \Omega^1_A).$$

For the divisors E_2 (resp. E_1) on A, we set $\Omega_1 = c_1(E_2)$ (resp. $\Omega_4 = c_1(E_1)$). Then, by the diagram $(**)$ Ω_1 (resp. Ω_4) is a basis of $\mathrm{H}^1(E_1, \mathcal{O}_{E_1}) \otimes \mathrm{H}^0(E_1, \mathcal{O}_{E_1})$ (resp. $\mathrm{H}^1(E_2, \mathcal{O}_{E_2}) \otimes \mathrm{H}^0(E_2, \Omega^1_{E_2})$).

We set

$$\Delta_a = \Delta_{\mathrm{id},a}.$$

Here, id is the identity endomomorphism of E. Then we have

$$j(\Delta_a) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{a} \\ a & \bar{a}a \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $\{\mathrm{id}, \frac{1+\alpha}{2}, F\frac{1+\alpha}{2}, \frac{(a+F)\alpha}{q}\}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{O} = \mathrm{End}(E)$, we see that

$$E_1, E_2, \Delta = \Delta_{\mathrm{id}}, \Delta_{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}, \Delta_{F\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}, \Delta_{\frac{(a+F)\alpha}{q}}$$

is a basis of $\mathrm{NS}(A)$. Since $\alpha^2 = -q$, we see that α acts on $\mathrm{H}^0(E, \Omega^1_E)$ as the multiplication by $\pm\sqrt{-q}$. We can choose α such that the action α on $\mathrm{H}^0(E, \Omega^1_E)$ is the multiplication by $\sqrt{-q}$. F acts on $\mathrm{H}^0(E, \Omega^1_E)$ as the zero-map. Therefore, $\frac{1+\alpha}{2}, F\frac{1+\alpha}{2}$ and $\frac{(a+F)\alpha}{q}$ act on $\mathrm{H}^0(E, \Omega^1_E)$ respectively as

$$\frac{1+\sqrt{-q}}{2},\ 0,\ \frac{a\sqrt{-q}}{q}.$$

Since $\mathrm{H}^1(E, \mathcal{O}_E)$ is dual to $\mathrm{H}^0(E, \Omega^1_E)$, by Lemma 4.1 the actions of $\frac{1+\alpha}{2}, F\frac{1+\alpha}{2}$ and $\frac{(a+F)\alpha}{q}$ on $\mathrm{H}^1(E, \mathcal{O}_E)$ are respectively given by

$$\frac{1-\sqrt{-q}}{2},\ 0,\ -\frac{a\sqrt{-q}}{q}.$$

Therefore, on the decomposition $(*)$ of the space $\mathrm{H}^1(A, \Omega^1_A)$ the endomorphisms $\mathrm{id} \times \frac{1+\alpha}{2},\ \mathrm{id} \times F\frac{1+\alpha}{2},\ \mathrm{id} \times \frac{(a+F)\alpha}{q}$ of A act respectively as

$$(1, \frac{1+\sqrt{-q}}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{-q}}{2}, \frac{1+q}{4}), \quad (1,0,0,0), \quad (1, \frac{\sqrt{-q}}{q}, -\frac{\sqrt{-q}}{q}, \frac{a^2}{q})$$

on each direct summand.

We consider the automorphism τ of A defined by

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \tau: & A = E_1 \times E_2 & \longrightarrow & A = E_1 \times E_2 \\ & (P_1, P_2) & \mapsto & (P_2, P_1). \end{array}$$

We denote by Ω_2 a basis of $\mathrm{H}^1(E_1, \mathcal{O}_{E_1}) \otimes \mathrm{H}^0(E_2, \Omega^1_{E_2})$. We set $\Omega_3 = \tau^*\Omega_2$. Then, Ω_3 is a basis of $\mathrm{H}^0(E_1, \Omega^1_{E_1}) \otimes \mathrm{H}^1(E_2, \mathcal{O}_{E_2})$. We set

$$c_1(\Delta) = c_1(\Delta_{\mathrm{id}}) = \alpha_1\Omega_1 + \alpha_2\Omega_2 + \alpha_3\Omega_3 + \alpha_4\Omega_4$$

with $\alpha_i \in k$ $(i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$. We consider the inclusions

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \epsilon_1 : & E_1 & \longrightarrow & E_1 \times E_2 = A \\ & P & \mapsto & (P, O_{E_2}) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{rccc} \epsilon_2 : & E_2 & \longrightarrow & E_1 \times E_2 = A \\ & P & \mapsto & (O_{E_1}, P) \end{array}$$

Then, we have the following diagram induced by ϵ_i.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Pic}(E_i)/p\mathrm{Pic}(E_i) & \xrightarrow{c_1} & \mathrm{H}^1(E_i, \Omega^1_{E_i}) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathrm{NS}(A)/p\mathrm{NS}(A) & \xrightarrow{c_1} & \mathrm{H}^1(A, \Omega^1_A). \end{array}$$

Using this diagram, by $(\Delta, E_1) = 1$ and $(\Delta, E_2) = 1$ we see $\alpha_1 = \alpha_4 = 1$. Since $\tau^*\Delta = \Delta$, we also have $\alpha_2 = \alpha_3$, which we denote it by α.

We consider the natural inclusion $\phi : \Delta \hookrightarrow A = E_1 \times E_2$ and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Pic}(\Delta)/p\mathrm{Pic}(\Delta) & \xrightarrow{c_1} & \mathrm{H}^1(\Delta, \Omega^1_\Delta) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathrm{NS}(A)/p\mathrm{NS}(A) & \xrightarrow{c_1} & \mathrm{H}^1(A, \Omega^1_A). \end{array}$$

Since $(\Delta, \Delta) = 0$, we have $\phi^* c_1(\Delta) = 0$. On the other hand, since $(\Delta, E_1) = (\Delta, E_2) = 1$, we have $\phi^* c_1(E_1) = \phi^* c_1(E_2) \neq 0$. Therefore, we see $\alpha \neq 0$. Replacing Ω_2 by $\alpha\Omega_2$, we may assume $\alpha = 1$.

Summerizing these results, we have

$$\begin{array}{l} c_1(E_1) = \Omega_4,\ c_1(E_2) = \Omega_1, \\ c_1(\Delta) = \Omega_1 + \Omega_2 + \Omega_3 + \Omega_4, \\ c_1(\Delta_{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}) = \Omega_1 + \frac{1+\sqrt{-q}}{2}\Omega_2 + \frac{1-\sqrt{-q}}{2}\Omega_3 + \frac{1+q}{4}\Omega_4, \\ c_1(\Delta_{F\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}) = \Omega_1, \\ c_1(\Delta_{\frac{(a+F)\alpha}{q}}) = \Omega_1 + \frac{a\sqrt{-q}}{q}\Omega_2 - \frac{a\sqrt{-q}}{q}\Omega_3 + \frac{a^2}{q}\Omega_4. \end{array}$$

Since $2q$ is prime to p, there exists an integer ℓ such that $\ell \equiv \frac{a}{2q} \pmod{p}$. Using these notation, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.4 *The kernel* Ker c_1 *is two-dimensional over* $\mathbf{F}_p$*, and a basis of* Ker c_1 *is given by divisors* $\Delta_{F\frac{1+\alpha}{2}} - E_2$ *and* $\Delta_{\frac{2+F\alpha}{q}} - \ell\Delta_{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}} + 2\ell\Delta - (\ell+1)E_2 - (1-q+2a)\ell E_1$.

Proof With respect to the basis $\langle \Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Omega_3, \Omega_4 \rangle$, the Chern classes $c_1(E_1)$, $c_1(E_2)$, $c_1(\Delta)$, $c_1(\Delta_{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}})$, $c_1(\Delta_{F\frac{1+\alpha}{2}})$, $c_1(\Delta_{\frac{(a+F)\alpha}{q}})$ are respectively represented

as the following vectors:

$$\mathbf{u}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{u}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{u}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{u}_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{1+\sqrt{-q}}{2} \\ \frac{1-\sqrt{-q}}{2} \\ \frac{1+q}{4} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{u}_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{u}_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{a\sqrt{-q}}{q} \\ \frac{-a\sqrt{-q}}{q} \\ \frac{a^2}{q} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3, \mathbf{u}_4$ are linearly independent over $\mathbf{F}_p$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{u}_5 = \mathbf{u}_2, \\ &\mathbf{u}_6 = \tfrac{2a}{q}\mathbf{u}_4 - \tfrac{a}{q}\mathbf{u}_3 + (\tfrac{a}{2q} + 1)\mathbf{u}_2 + (\tfrac{a}{2q} - \tfrac{a}{2} + \tfrac{a^2}{q})\mathbf{u}_1, \end{aligned}$$

we see $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} \mathrm{Im}\, c_1 = 4$. Since $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} \mathrm{NS}(A)/p\mathrm{NS}(A) = 6$, we have $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} \mathrm{Ker}\, c_1 = 2$. Since $\langle E_1, E_2, \Delta, \Delta_{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}, \Delta_{F\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}, \Delta_{\frac{(a+F)\alpha}{2}} \rangle$ is a basis of $NS(A)/pNS(A)$, the latter part follows from our construction. ■

Using this theorem, we have the following well-known corollary (cf. van der Geer and Katsura [3], for instance).

Corollary 4.5 *Let A be a superspecial abelian surface. Then, $\mathrm{H}^1(A, \Omega^1_A)$ is generated by algebraic cycles.*

Proof This follows from the fact that $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3, \mathbf{u}_4$ are linearly independent also over k. ■

5 Example

We give here one concrete example. Assume characteristic $p = 3$. Then, there exists up to isomorphism only one supersingular elliptic curve and the supersingular elliptic curve is defined by

$$E : y^2 = x^3 - x$$

We consider two automorphisms defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma : x \mapsto x + 1, y \mapsto y, \\ &\tau : x \mapsto -x, y \mapsto \sqrt{-1}y \end{aligned}$$

We have a morphism defined by

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \pi : & E & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{P}^1 \\ & (x, y) & \longmapsto & x \end{array}$$

By the result of Ibukiyama ([4]), we have

$$\mathrm{End}(E) = Z + Z\tau + Z\iota\circ\tau + Z\tau\circ\iota\circ\sigma.$$

Here, ι is the inversion of E.

Let P be the point on $\mathbf{P}^1$ given by the local equation $x = 0$, and $\tilde{P}$ a point on E such that $\pi(\tilde{P}) = P$. We consider an affine open covering $\{U_0, U_1\}$ of $\mathbf{P}^1$ which is given by

$$U_0 = \{x \in \mathbf{P}^1 \mid x \neq \infty\}, U_1 = \{x \in \mathbf{P}^1 \mid x \neq 0\}.$$

The divisor P is given by the functions

$$x \text{ on } U_0,\ 1 \text{ on } U_1.$$

Under the notation, we have the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 2\tilde{P} & \in \mathrm{Pic}(E)/3\mathrm{Pic}(E) & \overset{c_1}{\hookrightarrow} & \mathrm{H}^1(E,\Omega^1_E) & \cong & k \\ \uparrow & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ P & \in \mathrm{Pic}(\mathbf{P}^1)/3\mathrm{Pic}(\mathbf{P}^1) & \overset{c_1}{\hookrightarrow} & \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbf{P}^1,\Omega^1_{\mathbf{P}^1}) & \cong & k \end{array}$$

In this diagram, we have $c_1(P) = \{\frac{dx}{x}\}$, and $c_1(\tilde{P}) = \{\frac{dx}{2x}\}$.

We set $A = E_1 \times E_2$ with $E_1 = E_2 = E$. We consider the Chern map

$$c_1 : \mathrm{NS}(A)/3\mathrm{NS}(A) \to \mathrm{H}^1(A,\Omega^1_A) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(A,\mathcal{O}_A)\otimes \mathrm{H}^0(A,\Omega^1_A)$$

We also consider the natural inclusion defined by

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \varphi : & E & \to & E_1\times E_2 \quad = A \\ & P & \mapsto & (P, O_{E_2}) \end{array}$$

We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{NS}(A)/3\mathrm{NS}(A) & \overset{\varphi^*}{\to} & \mathrm{NS}(E)/3\mathrm{NS}(E) \\ \downarrow c_1 & & \downarrow c_1 \\ \mathrm{H}^1(A,\Omega^1_A) & \overset{\varphi^*}{\to} & \mathrm{H}^1(E,\Omega^1_E) \end{array}$$

Then, we have

$$\varphi^*(c_1(\Delta)) = c_1(\varphi^*(\triangle)) = c_1(O_E) = \{\frac{dx}{2x}\} \neq 0.$$

We determine the action of $\mathrm{End}(E)$ on $\mathrm{H}^0(E,\Omega^1_E)$. A basis of $\mathrm{H}^0(E,\Omega^1_E)$ is given by $\frac{dx}{y}$ and we have

$$(\iota\circ\sigma)^*\frac{dx}{y} = -\frac{dx}{y}, \quad \tau^*\frac{dx}{y} = -\sqrt{-1}\frac{dx}{y}, \quad (\tau\circ\iota\circ\sigma)^*\frac{dx}{y} = \sqrt{-1}\frac{dx}{y}.$$

Since $\mathrm{H}^1(E, \mathcal{O}_E)$ is dual to $\mathrm{H}^0(E, \Omega^1_E)$, the actions of $\iota \circ \sigma$, τ and $\tau \circ \iota$ are respectively given by the multiplications

$$-1, \ \sqrt{-1}, \ \ -\sqrt{-1}$$

by Lemma 4.1. Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{H}^1(A, \Omega^1_A) &\cong \mathrm{H}^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A) \otimes \mathrm{H}^0(A, \Omega^1_A) \\ &\cong \mathrm{H}^1(E_1, \mathcal{O}_{E_1}) \otimes \mathrm{H}^0(E_1, \mathcal{O}_{E_1}) \oplus \mathrm{H}^1(E_1, \mathcal{O}_{E_1}) \otimes \mathrm{H}^0(E_2, \Omega^1_{E_2}) \\ &\quad \oplus \mathrm{H}^1(E_2, \mathcal{O}_{E_2}) \otimes \mathrm{H}^0(E_1, \Omega^1_{E_1}) \oplus \mathrm{H}^1(E_2, \mathcal{O}_{E_2}) \otimes \mathrm{H}^0(E_2, \Omega^1_{E_2}), \end{aligned}$$

the actions $\mathrm{id} \times \iota \circ \sigma$, $\mathrm{id} \times \tau$ and $\mathrm{id} \times \tau \circ \iota \circ \sigma$ are respectively given by the multiplication on each summand by

$$\begin{array}{l} (1, -1, -1, 1) \\ (1, \sqrt{-1}, -\sqrt{-1}, 1) \\ (1, -\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-1}, 1). \end{array}$$

By our general theory,

$$E_1, E_2, \Delta, \Delta_{\iota\circ\sigma}, \Delta_\tau, \Delta_{\tau\circ\iota\circ\sigma}$$

gives a basis of $\mathrm{NS}(A)$ over $\mathbf{Z}$. Therefore, $\Delta + \Delta_{\iota\circ\sigma} + E_1 + E_2$ and $\Delta_\tau + \Delta_{\tau\circ\iota\circ\sigma} + E_1 + E_2$ are linearly independent divisors in $\mathrm{NS(A)}/3\mathrm{NS}(A)$ over $\mathbf{F}_3$. Moreover, considering the actions of the endomorphisms $\mathrm{id} \times \iota \circ \sigma$, $\mathrm{id} \times \tau$ and $\mathrm{id} \times \tau \circ \iota \circ \sigma$ on $\mathrm{H}^1(A, \Omega^1_A)$ and the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{NS}(A) & \stackrel{f^*}{\to} & \mathrm{NS}(A) \\ \downarrow c_1 & & \downarrow c_1 \\ \mathrm{H}^1(A, \Omega^1_A) & \stackrel{f^*}{\to} & \mathrm{H}^1(A, \Omega^1_A) \end{array}$$

we conclude that the Chern classes of these two divisors are zero. Therefore, we see that

$$\Delta + \Delta_{\iota\circ\sigma} + E_1 + E_2, \Delta_\tau + \Delta_{\tau\circ\iota\circ\sigma} + E_1 + E_2$$

gives a basis of $\mathrm{Ker}\ c_1$ over $\mathbf{F}_3$.

6 An application to Kummer surfaces

Let A be an abelian surface defined over k, and ι be the inversion. We denote by $\tilde{A}$ the surface made of 16 blowings-up at 16 two-torsion points on A. Then, ι induces the action $\tilde{\iota}$ on $\tilde{A}$ and $\mathrm{Km}(A) = \tilde{A}/\tilde{\iota}$ is the Kummer surface. We denote by $\pi : \tilde{A} \to \mathrm{Km}(A)$ the projection. A K3 surface X is called supersingular if the Picard number $\rho(X)$ is equal to the second Betti number $b_2(X) = 22$. For a supersingular K3 surface, the discriminant of $\mathrm{NS}(X)$ is equal to the form $-p^{2\sigma}$

and σ is called an Artin invariant. We know $1 \leq \sigma \leq 10$ (cf. Artin [1]). A supersingular K3 surface with Artin invariant 1 is said to be superspecial. Such a K3 surface is unique up to isomorphism and is isomorphic to the Kummer surface $\mathrm{Km}(A)$ such that A is superspecial (cf. Ogus [8]). We also know that a supersingular K3 surface with $\sigma = 2$ is isomorphic to a Kummer surface $\mathrm{Km}(A)$ such that A is supersingular and non-superspecial (cf. Ogus [8]).

We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Km}(A))/p\mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Km}(A)) & \xrightarrow{c_1} & \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{Km}(A), \Omega^1_{\mathrm{Km}(A)}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{NS}(\tilde{A})/p\mathrm{NS}(\tilde{A}) & \xrightarrow{c_1} & \mathrm{H}^1(\tilde{A}, \Omega^1_{\tilde{A}}). \end{array}$$

Since we have $2\mathrm{NS}(\tilde{A}) \subset \pi^*\mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Km}(A)) \subset \mathrm{NS}(\tilde{A})$ by Shioda [11] and $p \neq 2$, we see $\mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Km}(A))/p\mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Km}(A)) \cong \mathrm{NS}(\tilde{A})/p\mathrm{NS}(\tilde{A})$. Since ι acts on $\mathrm{H}^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A)$ and $\mathrm{H}^0(A, \Omega^1_A)$ as the multiplication by -1. Since $\mathrm{H}^1(A, \Omega^1_A) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A) \otimes \mathrm{H}^0(A, \Omega^1_A)$, we see that ι acts identically on $\mathrm{H}^1(A, \Omega^1_A)$. Therefore, $\tilde{\iota}$ acts identically on $\mathrm{H}^1(\tilde{A}, \Omega^1_{\tilde{A}})$. Hence, we have $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{Km}(A), \Omega^1_{\mathrm{Km}(A)}) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(\tilde{A}, \Omega^1_{\tilde{A}})$. Summerizing these results, by Thereoms 3.1 and [**?**] we have the following theorem.

Theorem 6.1 *For a Kummer surface* $\mathrm{Km}(A)$*, the Chern class mapping* $c_1 : \mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Km}(A))/p\mathrm{NS}(\mathrm{Km}(A)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{Km}(A), \Omega^1_{\mathrm{Km}(A)})$ *is injective if and only if* $\mathrm{Km}(A)$ *is not superspecial. If* $\mathrm{Km}(A)$ *is superspecial,* $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} \mathrm{Ker} c_1 = 2$ *holds.*

References

[1] M. Artin, Supersingular K3 surfaces, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.(4) 7 (1974), 543–568.

[2] M. Deuring, Die Typen der Multiplikatorenring elliptischer Funktionenkörper, Abh. Math. Sem Univ. Hamburg 14 (1941), 197–272.

[3] G. van der Geer and T. Katsura, Formal Brauer groups and moduli of abelian surfaces, Progress in Math. 195, Birkhäuser Verlag Basel/Switzerland, 2001, 185–202.

[4] T. Ibukiyama, A basis and maximal orders in quaternion algebras over the rational number field (in Japanese), Sugaku, 24 (1972), 316–318.

[5] T. Ibukiyama, T. Katsura and F. Oort, Supersingular curves of genus two and class numbers, Comp. Math. 57 (1986), 127–152.

[6] T. Katsura, On the descriminants of intersection form on Néron-Severi group, in "Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra in honor of Masayoshi Nagata", Kinokuniya Publ., (1987), 183–201.

[7] D. Mumford, Abelian Varieties, Oxford Univ. Press, London/New York, 1970.

[8] A. Ogus, Supersingular K3 crystals, Astérisque 64 (1979), 3-86.

[9] F. Oort, Commutative Group Schemes, Lecture Notes in Math. 15, Springer-Verlag, 1966

[10] F. Oort, Which abelian varieties are products of elliptic curves?, Math. Ann. 214 (1975), 35–47.

[11] T. Shioda, Superingular K3 surfaces, Algebraic Geometry, Proc. Copenhagen 1978 (K. Lϕnsted, ed.), Lecture Notes in Math. 732, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1979, 564-591.

T. Katsura: Faculty of Science and Engineering, Hosei University, Koganei-shi, Tokyo 184-8584, Japan
E-mail address: toshiyuki.katsura.tk@hosei.ac.jp